## PHOTOPERIODIC STUDIES ON RICE

VI. Further Studies on the Turning Point of the Short Day Effect and the Long Day Effect on Certain Short Day Rice Varieties

CHING-JANG YÜ, and YÜN-TE YAO,

(Received August 22, 1966)

#### Introduction

In a previous paper (Yü and Yao, 1962), the authors pointed out that three Taiwan rice varieties and two Japanese rice varieties are short day ones, and that their turning point for the short day effect and the long day effect was between 12 and 13 hours of day length. However, Morinaga and Kuriyama (1954) reported that the turning points for several varieties were between 10 and 11.5 hours. Such a difference in the turning point led the authors to reinvestigate this problem in 1960–1961, using increased numbers of varieties and the results of these studies are reported in this paper.

#### Materials and Methods

The experiments were conducted in the laboratory and 93 rice varieties were used. The source and catalogue numbers are shown below.

Sour	ce of varieties	Catalogue number	varieties used	
	Mainland	82-121	26	From the Taiwan Provincial Institute of Agriculture
Chinese	Taiwan Ponlai	26	1	
	Local	59-79	10	
America	<b>n</b>	122-132	7	
Japanese		33-53	9	
Others		133-136	3	
Markers	for genetic studies	141-209	37	From Jodon's and Nagao's Laboratories

The names of the varieties are listed in the appendix. Except for the varieties for genetic studies the majority of the varieties belong to the daylength sensitive group; and perhaps only five of them belong to the day

length insensitive group. In the present experiments, thirtyseven varieties used for genetic studies were used only once and these were studied in the second crop season in 1961 while others were used two or three times between the second crop season of 1960 to the second crop season of 1961.

The dates of sowing and treatment in each experiment are as given below:

Year	Crop season	Date of sowing	Starting date of treatment
1960	<b>H</b> 131 2 2 2 2	August 22	August 28
1961	I	April 1	April 15
	$\mathbf{H}_{i}$	August 22	August 24

Sowing was carried out by directly placing 5 sprouting seeds in each Wagner's pot. The routine fertilization and care were described in previous papers (Yü and Yao, 1958). In the second crop season of 1960, the plants in each section were exposed to a daylength of 12.0, 12.5, 13.0, 13.5, and 14.0 hours respectively. In the first crop season of 1961, two sections of plants were added, and exposed to a daylength of 9 and 24 hours respectively. In the second crop season of 1961, one more section was added, and exposed to a daylength of 10 hours. In each experiment, the plants were moved outside from 8 a.m. through 5 p.m. giving a 9 hour period under direct sunlight, and for the rest of the day they were kept in dark rooms. Further daylength treatment for each section of plants was completed by exposing them to 200 watt incandescent bulbs respectively.

It is difficult to know from external form when a plant changes from the vegetative to a reproductive growth phase, therefore, in this experiment, the date of heading of each section was recorded. The interval of the time from the beginning of treatment to the beginning of the heading of each variety was used as a means to determine the turning point of the short day effect and the long day effect.

Hartley's method was used to test the significance of the difference of the growth duration under the different day lengths.

#### Results

The detailed results in our experiment are contained in the appendix, and the condensed form of the results is presented in Table 1.

The results of the experiments in the two crop seasons on 93 rice varieties are summarized in Table 2.

From table 2, the turning point in the first crop season was less than 12 hours of daylength in 62.3% of the rice varieties, 12-13 hours of daylength in 29.5% of the rice varieties, and 13-14 hours daylength in 8.1% of the rice varieties. The turning point in the remaining rice varieties is not definite or

**Table 1.** The days required from the beginning of treatment to the beginning of heading under different daylength for different rice varieties.

	er er erstelle						*		<u> </u>	4.5	11 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u> </u>
**	Year and				Dayle	ength	in hour	rs	- :		F-value	D <sub>0.05</sub>
Variety	crop season	9.0	10.0	11.0	12.0	12.5	15.0	13.5	14.0	24.0		10.05
Fukoku	1961-I	51.5		· . — .	51.8↓	53.3	70.0	78.8	98.8	119.0	364.86**	1.37
Fukoku	1960-II			19 <u>-</u> -	47.8↓	54.0	69.3	86.0	102.0		325.89**	0.87
Eikō	1961-I	38.0	_		30.0↓	35.0	35.8	36.8	40.7	55.0	40.62**	1.53
	1960-II	-	. ( <del></del> -	<u> </u>	35.8	35.6	33.6	33.4↓	36.3		41.87**	0.77
Ti-chiao	1961- <b>I</b>	45.0↓	_		55.0	63.4	113.8	115.8	192.6	_	294.57**	5.40
meng-tang	1960-II			-	40.5↓	78.3	+++	++	· +	_	23.48**	24.81
TT . T	1961-I	40.6↓		_	51.6	65.3	109.8	136.8	187.0		448.23**	3.97
Hsienlo	1962-II	-		$\frac{1}{2}$	42.7 ↓	84.8	++	+	+	_	365.77**	7.35
Ning-chiao	1961-I	45.3	-	_	38.3	38.8	38.0↓	46.6	44.8	55.4	85.65**	0.92
Hsiaoto	1962-II	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	43.7	43.0	41.5	44.7↓	51.3	-	4.73**	3.34
Che-chang-	1961-I	43.2		<u> </u>	44.2	44.6↓	54.2	59.4	81.6	92.9	53.08**	3.85
chunghsien No. 1	1962-II		$2^{\frac{1}{2}}-1$		40.3	42.2↓	75.4	75.5	+++	-	4.73**	3.34
	1961-I	47.3 J		* <del></del> /_	51.4	51.6	64.4	83.0	98.5		485.19**	1.46
Boenar	1960-II			<del>-</del>	49.5	50.0 ↓	69.5	105.5	136.5	_	47.43**	8.85
Calono	1961-I	49.0	-		50.6	51.2↓	62.8	74.4	82.5	222.5	1,480.11**	1.85
Calora	1961-II				49.0	53.0	53.5↓	80.0	100.0	_	12.12**	10.37
					MANAGER PROPERTY.	an about of buyers of		OF SCHOOL STREET				

- -: no experimentation
- +: Floral buds differentiated but no heading, the number of + represents the degree of differention.

still completely unknown.

In the second crop season the turning point was less than 12 hours of daylength in 14.6% of the rice varieties, 12-13 hours of daylength in 62.2% of the rice varieties, 13-14 hours of daylength in 13.4% of the rice varieties, and over 14 hours of daylength in 9.8% of the rice varieties. The turning point in the remaining rice varieties is not definite or still completely unknown.

Among the 53 rice varieties in which the necessary data has been fully obtained for the experiments carried out in two crop seasons, 18 varieties showed a constant turning point, that is, 6 varieties were found to have a turning point less than 12 hours of daylength in two crop seasons, 10 varieties having a turning point of 12–13 hours of daylength in two crop seasons, and 2 varieties having a turning point of 13–14 hours of daylength in two crop seasons. But 35 varieties were found to have a longer daylength in their turing point in the second crop season than in the first crop season.

#### Discussion

1. On the basis of the above findings, it may be assumed that the difference between the turning point of the short day effect and the long day effect

Table 2. The variation of the turning point of the stort day effect and the long day effect among rice varieties in relation to the crop seasons.

Total	percentage	$12 \\ (14.6\%)$	51 (62.2%)	(13.4%)	8 (19.8%)	7 (7.52%)	4 (4.3%)	93 (100%)
Unknown		202, 204, 209. 201, 203. 207	136 122, 127, 129, 186, 187, 189. 196, 190, 194, 191, 200.	195 205, 206, 208.	188			$\begin{pmatrix} 28\\ (30.1\%) \end{pmatrix}$
Yet certainly			26, 101 (9.0–12.5)	100 (9.0-12.5)		95(9.0- 12.5)		4 (4.3%)
14.0-24.0								
13.0-14.0	13.5-14.0				85			6)
13.0-	13.0-13.5			84, 85	53		91	5 (8.2%)
13.0	12.5-13.0		38, 114, 151. 113, 150, 177, 183.	126, 141.	37, 155, 170.	47(12.0- 24.0)	162	(%)
12.0-13.0	12.0-12.5		33, 59.	35				18 (29.5%)
0.0-12.0		74, 76. 78, 111, 125, 134.	51, 52, 67, 71, 72, 75, 77, 79, 104, 112, 115, 120, 121, 133, 94, 97, 116, 117, 118, 119, 131, 158.			154, 171.	38 (62.3%)	
Photopeiod of first Crop Variety number season and Number of	Photoperiod of second crop season	9.0-10.0 9.0-11.0 11.0-12.0 9.0-12.0	12.0-12.5 12.5-13.0 12.0-13.0	13.0-13.5 13.5-14.0 13.0-14.0	14.0-24.0	Yet certainly known	Unknown	Total percentage

of the short day rice varieties depends upon the rice varieties. Regarding this, Morinaga and Kuriyama (1954) have already made reference. Ormorod et al. (1960) after exposing 5 American rice varieties and 5 Japanese varieties to a day length of 9, 12, 15, and 18 hours respectively, found that the turning point of 5 American varieties and 3 Japanese varieties was 12-15 hours of daylength and that only the one Japanese variety—"Eikō" had a turning point of 15-18 hours. In our present study, it has been observed that this same variety has two turning points: in the first crop season it had a turning point of 12.0-12.5 hours daylength and in the second season it had 13.5-14.0 hours of day length. Although our results do not quite agree with that of Ormorod et al., yet there is no doubt but that the "Eikō" variety is a rare variety which has shown a turning point with a daylength longer than 13.5 hours. Furthermore it has been found that the turning point of "Eikō" of Ormorod et al. is quite similar that "Bansei Eikō" of the authors (see appendix). Perhaps these two varieties may really be the same one.

The results of the present study indicate, furthermore, that the turning point of the short day effect and the long day effect of a considerable number of varieties obtained in the first crop season is not the same as in the second season. Rice requires a longer dark period in the first crop season than in the second. In other words, there are a considerable number of varieties of which the short day effect is more sensitive in the second crop season than in the first. Up to date there have been several investigators who have indicated that high temperature increases the short day effect. Yao (1962) found that under high temperature the photoperiodic induction of rice was comparatively faster. It is clear that under high temperature the short day effect of short day rice varieties is comparatively sensitive. Table 3 shows the average temperatures during the induction period in the two different crop seasons.

2. From table 3, it is clear that the temperature during the induction period was higher in the second crop season than in the first. In 35 out of 41 rice varieties the dark period was shorter in the second season than in the first. These results clearly indicate that temperature plays an important role in accelerating the short day effect. Some varieties such as: 132, 155, 158, 169, 170, 177, 183, have been proved to be short day ones (Yü and Yao, upublished). Their turning points of short day effect and long day effect were found shorter than 13 hour day length in the first crop season, but part of these varieties were found to have a turning point longer than 14 hour daylength in the second season. It appears that high temperature in the second season has also made a weak effect on their photoperiodic induction. Such varieties actually head in or later than November. It is assumed that such differences are due to the difference of their fundamental growth period, hence their periods of "ripening to flowering" are comparatively long.

Table 3. The average temperature in the induction period of the rice varieties in the second crop season, 1960 and first crop season, 1961.

S DL-1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
Photoperiod of the first crop season			
(hr)			
Average daily temperature in the induction period	9–12	12-13	13-14
Photoperiod of the second crop season (hr)			
9-12	I 23.70±0.64(6) II 25.17±1.37(6)		
12-13	I 23.72±0.73(22) II 26.29±1.13(21)	I 24.34±1.05(10) II 26.43±1.08(8)	
13-14	I 24.40±0.00(1) II —	I 23.67±0.68(3) II 26.07±1.76(3)	I 24.20±1.27(2) II 26.40±0.77(2)
14-24	I 24.90±1.41(2) II —	I 26.07±2.46(3) II 27.30±0.00(1)	I 23.3 ±0.07(2) II 27.15±0.07(2)

- 1. I and II represent the first and second crop seasons respectively. The numerical number in parentheses indicates the number of rice varieties used. The average temperature in the induction period is estimated by recording and averaging the temperature for the prevailing rice varieties as restricted in parentheses.
- 3. The temperature was recorded only under daylength treatment in the induction period which was taken as 40 consecutive days before the start of the heading.
- 3. The average temperature in the first crop season was based on 51 rice varieties, but in the second season it was based only on 43 varieties. This is because the heading period of severl varieties of rice comes later in the year than November and the floral buds differentiates after the temperature has greatly dropped. The temperature recorded on these varieties is omitted because the weather has become cold and this would greatly effect the average temperature.
- 4. Table 3 shows that some varieties have a turning point longer than 14 hours of daylength. It is found that such a turning point has not yet been clear. According to our earlier report (Yü and Yao, 1962), it is known that the growth period is greatly prolonged under an exposure to 24 hours of daylength and that such varieties are actually daylength sensitive.

As mentioned, there are several varieties in which the turning points were found to be constant in both the first and second crop season. Therefore, on the basis of the effect of temperature on the photoperiodic induction, daylength sensitive varieties may be divided into two groups: thermosensitive group and non-thermosensitive group.

3. In trying to know the relation between the turning point of short daylong day effect and in the grouping as divided by the index of daylength sensitivity (Yü and Yao, unpublished) the results are shown in table 4.

Table 4 shows that in the first crop season, the turning points of a majority of the rice varieties are less than 12 hours of daylength, and

Talbe 4. The relation between the turning point of short day-long day effect and groups of varieties as divided by the index of daylength sensitivity.

Groups as divided by the index of daylength sensitivity		First	crop	seaso	n	Sec	ond cro	p sea	son
The number of varieties The turning point is between:	I	IIa	IIb	IIc	Total	. 1 1	la IIb	IIc	Total
X1-12 (hr)	2	14	22	-	38	- 2	4		5
12-13 13-14	1 2	7 3	10		18	2 9	1.5	2	37
13-14 14-X <sub>2</sub>	_			<del>-</del>	5 —	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ - & 7 \end{bmatrix}$	3 2 ' —		7 7
Total	5	24	32	0	61	4 21	30	2	57

 $X_1$  is less than 12 hours of photoperiod,  $X_2$  is more than 14 hours of photoperiod.

that the second crop season the turning points of most varieties are between 12 and 13 hours of daylength or longer. Furthermore, in the II b group of the second season, 28 out of 30 varieties have their turning points less than 13 hours of daylength, and in the II a group of the same season only 11 out of 21 varieties have their turning points less than 13 hours of daylength and 10 others have their turning points between 13 and 14 hours of daylength or longer.

#### Summary

- 1. 93 rice varieties were used in the present study in an attempt to determine their turning points of the short day effect and the long day effect under different photoperiodic conditions from the second crop season of 1960 through the second crop season of 1961. Complete data of first crop season and second crop season were obtained for 61 varieties; data for the first crop season only were obtained for 5 varieties; and data for only the second crop season were obtained for 27 varieties.
- 2. On the basis of the present findings, the turning point of the short day effect and the long day effect varied with the varieties, and even with the same varieties used in the experiment, it might vary with the season.
- 3. The uniformity or variation of the turning point of the variety might be due to the difference of the prevailing temperature during the photoperiodic-induction-period.
- 4. There seemed to be no clear correlation between the turning point of the short day-long day effect and the groups of varieties as divided by the index of their daylength sensitivity. However, some varieties with turning points of over 14 hours of daylength belonged to IIa group as classified by the authors (Yū and Yao, unpublished).

Appendix

The days required from the beginning of treatment to the beginning of heading

Catalogue number	Variety	Crop season and year	9:00	10:00
26.	Taitung No. 24 (臺東24號)	I. 1961 II. 1960	71.6	
33.	Fukoku (富國)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	51.5 — 59.3	
35.	Ekō (榮光)	I. 1961 II. 1960	38.0	
37.	Bansei-Ekō (晚生榮光)	I. 1961 II. 1960	40.8	_
38.	Rikuu No. 20 (陸羽20號)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	36.2 - 49.8	<u> </u>
47.	Norin No. 21 (農林21號)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	55.0  72.8	=
49.	Aikoku No. 1 (愛國1號)	I. 1061 II. 1961	47.3 55.5	<u> </u>
51.	Shinriki (神力)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	45.0 83.0	
52.	Iwata-Asai (磐田朝日)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	47.5 — 55.5	
53.	Daikoku (大黑)	I. 1961 II. 1960	40.8	=
59.	Pan-tien-tzu (牛天仔)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	59.8  78.3	76.0
67.	Ti-chiao-meng-tang (低脚敏黨)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	45.0 41.0	Ξ
71.	Ko-tzu (格仔)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	40.2 - 52.8	 50.4
<b>72.</b>	Liu-chan (柳占)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	33.0 — 48.3	50.2
74.	Chin-kuo-chan (菁菓占)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	48.0  58.6	=
75.	Hsien-lo (暹羅)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	40.6 34.0	37.0
76.	Ya-mu (鴨母)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	43.6 60.6	

of 93 rice varieties under the different day lengths and different seasons.

	<u> </u>	i .	1	1	1	7	1	
11:00	12:00	12:30	13:00	13:30	14:00	24:00	F Value	D <sub>0-05</sub>
	105.0	75.8 88.5	77.4 108.3	90.5 114.3	123.7 ++	+	59.31** 5.25*	1.82 10.73
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	51.8 47.8 59.8	53.3 54.0 —	70.0 69.3 72.3	78.8 86.0	98.8 112.0	119.0 +	364.86** 325.89** 48.26**	1.37 0.87 3.83
	30.0 35.8	35.0 35.6	35.8 33.6	36.8 33.4	40.7 36.3	55.0	40.62** 11.87**	1.53 0.79
<u> </u>	35.8 38.8	36.4 39.0	39.8 35.8	40.3 38.7	42.8 39.2	59.6 —	148.59** 0.92	0.92
49.3	38.8 35.4 48.0	38.6 39.2 —	54.3 48.0 58.8	66.0 76.7	85.5 87.3	89.5 — +	8.98** 138.31** 32.23**	9.13 3.59 2.38
<u>-</u>	55.3 54.0 67.8	49.8 55.8 —	58.8 54.8 70.3	61.7 59.0	76.4 65.0	98.3 — +	180.70** 51.88** 2.59	1.62 1.10
52.4	45.0 48.3	45.5 —	59.8 64.8	72.3 —	78.5 —	89.3 +	36.78** 13.36**	3.49 4.79
52.2	49.0 45.0 50.8	51.0 62.2 —	70.3 83.6 72.0	83.0 95.0	93.0 117.0 —	214.6	2,122.63** 15.60** 86.83**	1.48 13.25 4.33
54.8	50.0 41.6 43.4	51.2 47.5	73.4 59.0 64.6	85.8 103.0	95.0 119.3 —	104.0	263.27** 353.70** 15.96**	1.71 3.11 5.89
	38.0 40.8	37.4 41.3	38.0 40.8	41.0 40.0	41.0 40.3	46.4	5.91** 1.21	1.76
75.0	60.0 65.0 71.2	62.8 74.4 —	65.4 75.0 81.2	70.0 82.7 —	69.6 87.0	71.3	51.16** 41.51** 15.82**	0.93 2.45 2.33
41.5	55.0 40.5 44.0	63.4 78.3	113.8 +++ 114.3	115.8 ++ -	192.6 + -		294.57** 23.48** 1,197.75**	5.40 24.81 2.60
41.3	52.2 40.4 45.0	54.6 49.8 —	80.8 86.8 81.0	96.8 125.6 —	116.8 + + + -	113.8	59.36** 348.86** 47.69**	5.60 5.01 4.75
<u>-</u> 52.0	46.4 48.8 50.5	47.8 58.8	49.0 58.6 58.0	51.2 60.3 —	53.8 55.3	62.8 73.2	22.65** 18.08** 9.00**	2.48 2.20 5.06
70.8	65.6 62.8 83.3	81.8 88.2 —	117.0 ++ +	123.5	154.7 + -	195.5	70.32** 147.29** 33.94**	7.01 7.63 8.60
37.0	51.6 42.7 39.2	65.3 84.8 —	109.8 ++ 104.7	136.8	187.0 + —	-	448.23** 365.77** 284.22**	3.97 7.35 3.01
69.0	66.0 68.2 76.8	81.0 104.8 —	121.5 ++ +	149.3 + 	175.0	205.5 +	961.49** 403.36** 21.26**	2.18 6.39 7.15
					<del>,</del>			THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 I

(Continued)

				(Commuea)
Catalogue number	Variety	Crop season and year	9:00	10:00
77.	Chien-lo (潛羅)	I. 1961 II. 1960	44.0	
		II. 1961	41.8	
78.	Shuang-chiang (霜降)	I. 1961 II. 1960	36.8	
	(本日十)	II. 1961	44.8	
79.	Man-tzu (蔓仔)	I. 1961 II. 1960	44.0	_
	(要け)	II. 1961	42.8	_
82.	Yungan-fan-lung-tsao	I. 1961	44.4	_
	(永安分龍早)	II. 1960 II. 1961	47.0	= =
84.	Ning-chiao-hsiao-tao (寧交小稻)	I. 1961 II. 1960	45.3	
85.	Sinchiang-tsaotao (新疆早稻)	I. 1961 II. 1960	39.3	
86.	Shenyang-hsien (瀋陽和)	I. 1961 II. 1960	56.7 —	
91.	Chochou-tao (涿州稻)	I. 1961	18. <del>-</del> 1	_
93.	Mao-tzu-tou (帽子頭)	I. 1961 II. 1960	47.0	_
	(中国了5共)	II. 1961	58.0	-
94.	Shengli-hsien (勝利和)	I. 1961 II. 1960	52.3 —	=
95.	Chung nung-yuli-tsao (中農玉粒早)	I. 1961	45.6	_ ::
96.	Chung-kuei-mafang-hsien	I. 1961 II. 1960	50.2	-
	(中桂馬房和)	II. 1961	71.5	_
97.	Li-ku-tsao (粒谷早)	I. 1961 II. 1960	46 <u>.6</u>	
98.	Chung-chien No. 2	I. 1961 II. 1960	49.0	-,
	(中黔2號)	II. 1961	83.3	. =
100.	Fu-chin-huang (富錦黃)	I. 1961 II. 1960	66.6	
101.	Shui-pai-tiao (水白條)	I. 1961 II. 1960	51.8 —	_
104.	Tsao-ho No. 4	I. 1961 II. 1960	49.4	
	(早禾4號)	II. 1961	77.8	<u> </u>
107.	Wan-li-hsien	I. 1961	46.2	_
	(萬利和)	II. 1960 II. 1961	68.8	=
111.	Iliang-tapaiku	I. 1961	46.2	-
	(宜良大白谷)	II. 1960 II. 1961	59.0	<b> </b>
112.	Chung-hsiang No. 33-1	I. 1961	54.8	
114.0	(中湘33-1號)	II. 1960 II. 1961	59.6	
		11, 1501	33.0	

						100		<u> </u>
11:00	12:00	12:30	13:00	13:30	14:00	24:00	F Value	D <sub>0-05</sub>
40.2	55.4 41.8 44.2	63.8 77.2	102.0 ++++ +++	127.0 + —	168.5 + —	+	513.04** 394.07** 3.04	2.72 6.50
 44.8	49.6 43.0 53.3	57.2 76.4 —	110.0 136.3 112.0	122.3 ++ 	182.0* + + -		1,272.94** 215.58** 396.80**	2.28 3.54 3.60
41.2	56.6 42.2 44.3	63.0 74.0	101.5 +++ ++	126.5 + —	162.3 + —	168.3 	105.48** 1,366.50** 5.77**	6.75 3.13 2.67
<u> </u>	51.0 46.8 56.8	51.5 52.0 —	51.7 56.0 60.8	59.0 64.7 —	60.2 71.0	81.6 +	544.82** 43.17** 3.06	0.71 2.76
<u> </u>	38.3 43.7	38.8 43.0	38.0 -41.5	46.6 44.7	44.8 51.3	55 <b>.</b> 4	85.65** 4.73**	0.92 3.34
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	36.2 41.2	36.2 42.5	37.0 39.0	37.2 38.0	39.0 42.0	54.8 —	30.48** 1.10	1.69
	58.0 50.6	58.8 52.2	58.0 53.6	63.0 53.0	62.5 57.7	69.0	5.35** 6.21**	2.28 1.73
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	74.8	68.0	64.8	71.2	82.7	82.8	16.64**	2.96
<u>-</u> 58.4	52.3 50.6 59.8	53.2 56.2	55.2 62.0 64.0	59.4 72.3 —	61.5 67.3	66.5 — 95.0	164.66** 77.74** 34.72**	0.67 1.83 5.54
	58.5 62.4	58.7 61.8	62.5 68.4	61.8 80.3	62.8 72.3	68 <b>.</b> 0	41.57** 126.60**	0.93 3.82
<u>.</u>		53.7		61.8	62.0	63.7	24.02**	2.62
_ 75.3	55.8 54.0 73.5	56.4	60.8 64.3 71.8	66.0	60.3 65.7 +	68.4	122.34** 27.36** 0.91	1.25 1.92
	51.8 54.4	53.2 56.8	61.0 61.8	62.2 71.3	66.3 66.7	73.0	131.93** 14.35	1.01 3.37
 89.2	56.8 60.6 88.3	59.0 66.2 —	66.4 70.8 87.0	70.4 76.3	75.0 <b>75.</b> 7 +	75.8 —	100.23** 61.08** 1.83	1.29 1.65
<u> </u>	75.0	71.4 75.0	70.5 75.4	73.8 77.7	81.0 77.0		68.94** 4.32**	1.24 1.37
	60.8	55.0 61.2	58.3 64.3	63.4 72.7	71.0 71.7	73.0	43.68** 65.77**	2.08 1.29
79.6	54.0 54.0 79.4	55.8 62.4 —	64.0 62.4 85.0	65.0 70.3	68.0 70.7 109.6	67.8	41.88** 17.85** 126.65**	1.60 2.87 2.43
70.0	52.6 54.0 71.5	52.6 58.8 —	59.8 59.2 71.8	62.4 64.7	64.8 66.0 +	70.4	82.49** 40.13** 1.24	1.30 1.53
59.7	59.8 59.2 66.8	59.3 73.6 —	71.8 76.3 89.3	81.0 107.0	91.8 109.7 +	91.3	582.11** 1,136.93** 28.30**	2.15
<u> </u>	57.3 59.0 45.0	56.6 67.2	61.0 66.8 62.6	66.2 74.3	68.4 71.7	74.0	92.88** 18.99** 15.12**	2.54

(Continued)

Catalogue number	Variety	Crop season and year	9:00	10:00
113.	Che-chang-chung-hsien No. 1 (新場中和1號)	I. 1961 II. 1960	43.2	
114.	Chung-ta No. 345 (中大 345 號)	I. 1961 II. 1960	54.8 —	
115.	Fang-wan-hsien No. 21-3 (芳晚和21-3號)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	36.3 — 39.5	_ 35.6
116.	Che-chang No. 9 (新場9號)	I. 1661 II. 1960	38.0	
117.	Fei-lai-feng   (飛來鳳)	II. 1961 I. 1961 II. 1960	37.4 47.3	38.8 
118.	Paichueh-hsia-yu-chan (白穀小油粘)	I. 1961 II. 1960	37 <b>.</b> 0	
119.	Lungnan-shuang-chiang-pai (龍南霜降白)	I. 1961 II. 1960	40 <b>.</b> 3	
120.	Pucheng-wuchuehpai (浦城鳥穀白)	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	46.4 — 66.6	
121.	Che-chang No. 3 (渐場3號)	I. 1961 II. 1969	45.8	
122.	Improved Blue Bonnet	II. 1961 II. 1960	62.2	=
125.	Cody	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	45.3 — 42.2	
126.	Calora	I. 1961 II. 1960	49.0	
127.	Texas Patna	II. 1960		_
129.	Rerocre	II. 1960		<u></u>
131.	Boenar	I. 1961 II. 1960	47.3 —	
132.	PTB 16	I. 1961 II. 1960	64.0 —	
133.	Indedsal Llinoy	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	51.4 60.4	
134.	Potik Tjem Poka	I. 1961 II. 1960 II. 1961	56.8 61.3	
136.	Macan Banet	II. 1960		_
141.	A-73	I. 1961 II. 1960	57 <b>.</b> 8	
150.	7041	I. 1961 II. 1961	44.0 —	
151.	7043	I. 1961 II. 1961 II. 1961	64.3 — 66.0	

	eljusiu Najvas Spērbys							
11:00	12:00	12:30	13:00	13:30	14:00	24:00	F Value	D <sub>0*05</sub>
	44.2 40.3	44.6 42.2	54.2 73.4	59.4 75.7	81.6 +++	92.9	53.08** 169.87**	3.85 3.75
<u> </u>	40.6	49.4 44.5	66.4 64.5	93.0 ++	112.3 ++	248.0	1,162.16** 102.57**	1.87 2.61
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	47.4 38.0 37.2	47.0 42.4	59.4 59.0 53.4	78.0 98.7	101.0 115.0	<u>-</u> +	90.52** 496.67** 35.52**	4.13 2.80 3.72
	46.3 35.6 37.4	47.8 39.0	53.3 48.0 57.0	72.2 87.7	84.7 107.7	108.4	84.23** 441.20** 1,518.53**	2.79 2.74 1.79
	54.3 50.3	53.5 66.2	70.5 96.0	100.3	113.2 ++	112.2	327.39** 21.95**	2.16 17.60
<u> </u>	45.4 39.2	44.0 41.6	56.5 57.8	84.8 88.0	93.5 104.8	_	102.60** 213.37**	3.61 4.04
=	47.3 37.5	45.3 38.6	60.8 48.0	82.5 96.0	95.3 109.7	=	69.15** 206.83**	4.06 3.86
66.8	54.8 54.6 62.6	54.8 60.5	62.8 66.6 70.0	66.0 79.8 —	70.4 77.7	88.2	523.08** 56.38** 2.57	0.83 2.79 —
<u>-</u> 63.3	54.4 57.4 64.2	55.6 60.4	58.0 69.0 67.6	66.0 73.7	69.2 77.3	87.0 	250.81** 48.32** 1.19	1.03 2.29 —
	114.0	114.3	126.5	129.3	135.0		15.14**	3.63
_ 43.3	48.5 42.0 48.2	49.0 44.5 —	55.5 50.3 58.8	61.8 60.8	69.0 81.3	70.3 - +	156.51** 171.31** 61.84**	1.08 2.09 2.54
=	50.6 49.0	51.2 53.0	62.8 53.3	74.2 80.0	82.5 100.0	222.5	1,480.11** 12.12**	1.83 10.37
<u> </u>	135.5	133.5	++	++	+	-	0.18	
	117.0	134.0	++	+	+	_	3.44	
<u>-</u>	51.4 49.5	51.6 50.0	64.4 69.5	83.0 105.5	98.5 136.5	=	485.19** 47.43**	
<u></u>	67.6 77.0	66.6 79.0	69.4 84.3	72.4 85.0	81.6 85.5	=	60.93** 2.98	1.31
 58.4	54.4 56.0 58.0	54.2 60.7 —	55.6 64.0 60.6	59.8 65.3	63.4 103.9	66.5 96.6	119.01** 17.40** 286.27**	2.36
  59.8	64.0 64.5 62.8	70.0 100.8	109.0 +++ +++	129.8 ++ -	188.7 + -	-	1,006.51** 248.43** 0.77	2.31 7.96
<u>+</u>	118.3	++	++	+	+	_		
	53.4 57.0	52.6 61.5	57.6 64.0	61.2 70.0	65.5 90.5	64.0	72.54** 6.59**	* 1.90 * 8.25
=	47.8 39.3	46.4 40.3	56.0 46.6	69.3 60.0	84.0 94.7	158.5	907.60* 10.69*	* 4.24 * 3.84
_ 59.6	53.3 47.0 55.2	55.6 84.6	71.5 82.6 84.5	96.6 138.0	98.0	+ +	68.78* 9.87* 3.44	* 3.50 * 23.90 14.01

(Continued)

Catalogue number	Variety <sub>s</sub>	Crop season and year	9:00	10:00
154.	7108	I. 1960	53.0	
155.	7111	I. 1961 II. 1960	87 <b>.</b> 5	
158.	7121	I. 1961 II. 1960	72.6 —	
162.	7141	I. 1961	53.2	
169.	7165	I. 1961 II. 1960	53 <b>.</b> 2	
170.	7184	I. 1961 II. 1960	70 <b>.</b> 5	
171.	7186	I. 1961	70.8	
177.	7245	I. 1961 II. 1960	59 <b>.</b> 3	
183.	7270	I. 1961 II. 1960	71.4	
184.	9781	I. 1961 II. 1960	52 <b>.3</b>	
186. 187	156-1 (Caloro) 1779 (Rexoro)	II. 1961 II. 1961	59.5 94.3	
188 189.	5883 (Early Prolific) 7787 (Zeith)	II. 1961 II. 1961	91.5 98.0	89.8 95.8
190. 191.	8310 (Arkrose) 8643 (R-D)	II. 1961 II. 1961	100.7	
192 <b>.</b> 193 <b>.</b>	8988 (Calrose) 8990 (Bluebonnet 50)	II. 1961 II. 1961	61.3 88.6	
194. 195.	8989 (Lacrosse) 8998 (Nato)	II. 1961 11. 1961	74.0 83.5	72.0
196. 197.	9366 (7/8 Rex.×XBbt) 9370 (BR×Rexoro³)	II. #1961 II. 1961	102.5 104.3	1 =
198. 199.	9416 (Gulfrose) 9417 (Century × Rexoro)	II. 1961 II. 1961	84.2 84.2	
200 <b>.</b> 201 <b>.</b>	9418 (4-11-1-8×Rex-C252) 9419 (Century 231 <sup>8</sup> ×Bbt)	II. 1961 11. 1961	84.8 89.5	
202. 203.	9425 (Rexoro-Red × Unknown) 9433 (Belle Patna)	II. 1961 II. 1961	65.0 54.5	70.0 54.0
204. 205.	9439 (4-11-8-14 <sup>2</sup> × A6-23) 9463 (Mo. 207)	II. 1961 II. 1961	88.7 86.3	103.0 85.7
206. 207.	9475 (R-R×250-Magnolia) 9496 (Blue Rose Sel.)	II. 1961 II. 1961	 50 <b>.</b> 0	68.7
208. 209.	(R-D×R-Z) (Rec. 13×long-grain dwarf)	II. 1961 II. 1961	82.8 83.4	85.5 92.3

	season	~ ~ ~	***
Cron	season	and	veat

First crop, 1961

Second crop, 1960

1961

Date of sowing

April 1

August 22

August 22

					2.9			
11:00	12:00	12:30	13:00	13:30	14:00	24:00	F Value	D <sub>0-05</sub>
	56.6	58.8	62.2	65.2	66.8	76.2	603.62**	0.44
-	86.8 118.0	83.8 117.0	86.5 129.0	87.2 122.5	101.0 128.0	115.8	27.21** 1.23	2.86
· · <u> </u>	78.0 106.0	78.0 102.6	85.6 113.7	94.7 124.7	94.3 134.7	104.0	14.38** 234.86**	3.97 3.90
-	57.8	56.2	77.3	91.4	98.0	130.7	30.41**	6.25
	57.8 71.0	66.0 80 <b>.</b> 0	66.6 78.3	72.7 86.7	73.5 92.0	81.0	59.26** 1.87	1.71
, <u> </u>	70.8 88.0	67.5 81.0	71.6 83.0	74.0	80.0 88.0	86.3	10.87** 0.62	2.34
· —	76.8	79.0	81.0	83.2	96.3	100.3	32.31**	2.32
<u> </u>	57.3 66.8	56.6 70.2	76.0 84.6	93.4 +++	110.6 + +	124.0	1,038.26** 14.84	0.95 8.43
_	70.6 88.0	68.0 89.0	83.4 105.0	86.8 94.0	87.8 100.0		101.08	1.49
_	56.7 65.0	57.4 75.0	73.0 75.0	80.2 105.0	86.8 105.0	114.0	122.88**	2.33
59.5	56.3 95.7	_	60.0 137.0	_	109 <b>.</b> 0 +	++	150.27** 42.65**	3.43 13.66
89.5 92.8	82.6 85.6		94.3 97.5	_	98.5 108.4	++	2.60 55.10**	1.69
65.3	54.8 103.8		78.8 137.3	, . <del>-</del>	122.0 +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	184.73** 25.45**	5.85 13.14
61.8	53.3 92.0		64.0 115.0	_	107.4 +++	++	133.53** <b>8</b> 0.87**	3.81 5.35
65.4	58.5 83.0	_	77.0 86.6	_	120.7 105.3	+	52.93** 11.03**	4.25 6.98
98.7 105.3	91.4 107.7	=	112.0 +++		+++	++	39.45** 0.06	3.23
86.0	82.8 86.0	_	91.4 104.0		105.0 115.8	++	18.15** 39.24**	4.74 4.29
85.8 92.3	89.8 97.8	_	95.6 106.3		113.7 112.0	+ +	13.48** 11.21**	5.64 4.46
68.7 55.0	68.0 60.0		70.0 71.8	=	87.4 101.8	113.5 +	126.82** 70.42**	1.93 3.41
111.8	114.3 82.0	<del>-</del>	114.0 91.8	_	118.0 90.2	+	28.72** 3.73*	2.54 3.49
69.4	70.3 63.0		70.8 72.5	_	77.0	108.8	25.07** 31.55**	4.73 6.55
87.7 105.0	88.3 109.0		89.3 111.6	· -	102.0 119.0	++	4.31* 22.33**	4.36 3.83

Date of begining of treatment

April 15

August 28

August 24

# 水稻光週性的研究

# VI. 若干水稻品種之短日效果與長日效果的轉捩點的進一步的研究

## 于景讓 姚潤德

- 1. 作者等以 93品種在 1960年第二季至 1961年第二季的期間分置不同的光週 (Photoperiod) 下,求取其短日效果與長日效果的轉捩點。 有完整的兩季記錄者是有 61品種,祗有第一季記錄者是有 5 品種,祗有第二季記錄者是有27品種。
- 2. 據實驗記錄,知該項轉捩點是有着品種間的差別;而同一品種,在不同的栽培季節中,其轉捩點之是否一致,是隨品種而異。
- 3. 轉捩點之一致與不一致的原因,作者等推測是由於該項品種在光週誘導(Photoperiodic induction)期間對於溫度的反應不同之故。
- 4. 短日效果長日效果的轉捩點與根據作者等所提指數而劃分的品種羣間,似無明顯的 關係。惟在第二季中轉捩點延遲至日長14小時以後者,則皆屬於作者等所說的 IIa 羣。

#### Literature Cited

- MORINAGA, T. and H. KURIYAMA, Some experiments on the photoperiodism in rice, Studies on Rice Breeding (A separate volume of the Jap. Jour. Breeding 4), 35-63, 1954. ORMOROD, D. P., BUNTER, W. A., FINFROCK, D. C. and J. R. THYSELL, Responses of rice to photoperiod, Calif. Agric., 14, 6-7, 1960.
- YAO, Y. T., Photoperiodic studies on rice III. The effect of different combinations of light periods and dark periods on the floral bud initiation of a short day variety of rice, Bot. Bull. Acad. Sinica 3, 167-177, 1962.
- YAO, Y.T. and C.J. YÜ, Idem V. the index of day length sensitiveness of certain varieties of rice (unpublished).
- YÜ, C. J. and Y. T. YAO, Idem I. The turning point between the short day effect and the long day effect in certain short day varieties of rice, Bot. Bull. Acad. Sinica 3, 73-82, 1962.