Molecular evolution of the *atpB-rbcL* noncoding spacer of chloroplast DNA in the moss family Hylocomiaceae

Tzen-Yuh Chiang^{1,3} and Barbara A. Schaal²

¹Department of Biology, Cheng-Kung University, Tainan 700, Taiwan ²Department of Biology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

(Received January 20, 1999; Accepted July 28, 1999)

Abstract. Molecular evolution of the chloroplast noncoding region between the atpB and rbcL genes was investigated in the moss family Hylocomiaceae. Nucleotide substitution contributed to most of the variation among taxa, although an insertion of 29 base pairs was found in *Rhytidiopsis robusta*. The evolution of atpB-rbcL intergenic spacer was constrained in Hylocomiaceae compared to the evolutionary rates of this chloroplast spacer, and even of the rbcL gene, in angiosperms. Using a relative rate test procedure, substitution rates of the chloroplast spacer were found to be consistent with the hypothesis of a molecular clock, except for three taxa. Based on previous knowledge of the evolutionary rate of this spacer in true mosses, the divergence of the Hylocomiaceae from their common ancestor was estimated to be 29.0 million years ago, which is consistent with the fossil record. The chloroplast sequences supported the monophyly of the Hylocomiaceae with a bootstrap value of 82%. The effects of long branch attraction caused the erroneous placements of *Rhytidiopsis* and *Rhytidium* in the parsimony analysis. In contrast, neighbor-joining analysis provided a more congruent estimate of the phylogeny of the Hylocomiaceae based on the cpDNA variation observed.

Keywords: *atpB-rbcL* intergenic spacer; Chloroplast DNA; DNA sequence; Gene tree; Hylocomiaceae; Long branch attraction; Molecular clock; Mosses.

Introduction

The *atpB-rbcL* spacer, a noncoding region of the chloroplast genome, has been recently used in phylogenetic studies of angiosperms (Golenberg et al., 1993; Manen et al., 1994b), such as the Betulaceae (Bousquet et al., 1992a), Celastrales (Savolainen et al., 1994) and Rubiaceae (Manen et al., 1994a; Manen and Natali, 1995; Natali et al., 1995), as well as of mosses (Chiang, 1994). The length of the spacer region varies from 519 to 557 base pairs in true mosses, which is longer than in the liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha*. The evolution of the *atpB-rbcL* spacer sequence of the true mosses (Chiang, 1994) is constrained relative to the spacer in angiosperms as well as to the *rbcL* gene in angiosperms (Zurawski et al., 1984; Manen and Natali, 1995).

In a previous study, the evolution of the chloroplast *atpB-rbcL* spacer in 11 families of true mosses was analyzed (Chiang, 1994). At this broad level, the spacer evolved at a rate consistent with the hypothesis of a molecular clock. In this study, we investigated the tempo and mode in evolution of the *atpB-rbcL* chloroplast spacer within the family Hylocomiaceae, which are widespread in temperate regions and occur on high mountains in the tropics (Rohrer, 1985). The phylogenetic relationships among

genera in this family have been determined based on the sequences of both the internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA and the *atpB-rbcL* chloroplast spacer (Chiang, 1994). In contrast to a cladistic analysis based on morphological traits of the Hylocomiaceae (Rohrer, 1985), which recognized 12 genera, our study excluded six genera [i.e. *Pleurozium, Rhytidiadelphus, Macrothamnium, Orontobryum, Leptohymenium*, and *Leptocladiella* (cf. Chiang, 1995)] from the family. In addition, *Thelia*, a genus endemic to North America, was included as a member of the Hylocomiaceae based on molecular evidence (Chiang, 1994), bringing the genus number of the family up to seven.

This study had four goals: 1) to reconstruct the gene tree of the *atpB-rbcL* spacer in the family Hylocomiaceae; 2) to investigate the evolutionary rates of this spacer; 3) to test the hypothesis of a molecular clock; and 4) to estimate the time of divergence from a common ancestor of the Hylocomiaceae.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials

According to a previously inferred phylogeny, eleven species of seven genera have been included in the Hylocomiaceae (Chiang, 1994). For the current study, all species of the Hylocomiaceae as well as two outgroup taxa, *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt. and *Entodon seductrix* (Hedw.) C. Muell., were included (Table 1)

³Corresponding author. Tel: +886-6-2757575 ext. 65525; Fax: +886-6-2742583; E-mail: tychiang@ccvax.sinica.edu.tw

Table 1. List of taxa examined in this study and the voucher specimens (cf. Rohrer 1985; Chiang, 1994).

Таха	Localities	Vouchers
Ingroups: Family Hylocomiaceae		
Hylocomium splendens (Hedw.) B. S. G	North Carolina, USA	Chiang 31091
Hylocomiastrum umbratum (Hedw.) Fleisch.	North Carolina, USA	Chiang s.n.
H. pyrenaicum (Spruce) Lindb.	British Columbia, Canada	Vitt 34097
Loeskeobryum brevirostre (Brid.) Fleisch.	North Carolina, USA	Chiang s.n.
L. cavifolium (Lac.) Fleisch.	Mt. Fuji, Japan	Inoue 935
Neodolichomitra yunnanensis (Besch.) T. Kop.	Yunnan, China	He 30880
Rhytidiopsis robusta (Hook.) Broth.	Washington, USA	Chiang 198
Rhytidium ruginosa (Hedw.) Kindb.	Sichuan, China	Redfearn 35492
Thelia asprella Sull.	Missouri, USA	Allen 13398
T. hirtella (Hedw.) Sull.	Florida, USA	Allen 8566
T. lescurii Sull.	Alabama, USA	Willis 126
Outgroup:		
Pleurozium schreberi (Brid.) Mitt.	Sichuan, China	Whittemore 3969
Entodon seductrix (Hedw.) C. Muell	Missouri, USA	Crosby s.n.

(Chiang, 1994). Plants of four taxa—Hylocomium splendens (Hedw.) B. S. G., Hylocomiastrum umbratum (Hedw.) Fleisch., Rhytidiopsis robusta (Hook.) Broth., and Loeskeobryum brevirostre (Brid.) Fleisch.—were collected from field locations within the United States and air-dried without special field treatment. Herbarium specimens of other taxa were used for DNA isolation (Table 1). At least two samples from different populations were sequenced for ingroup species. Since no variation of this *atpB-rbcL* noncoding spacer in mosses has been found within species, only one sequence of each taxon was included in the analysis (Chiang, 1994). Voucher specimens are in the herbarium of the Missouri Botanical Garden (MO).

DNA Extraction and Sequencing

Leaf tissue from single individuals was frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground in Eppendorf tubes with a metal dounce. Genomic DNAs were extracted from the powdered tissue in 600 μ l 2X CTAB buffer (Murray and Thompson, 1980) with 0.4% (v/v) *b*-mercaptoethanol and incubated for 1 h at 65°C. After adding equal volumes of 24:1 chloroform: isoamyl alcohol, the tissue mixture was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature. The supernatant was transferred to an Eppendorf tube followed by addition of 1.2 ml of absolute ethanol. After overnight incubation at 4°C, DNA was recovered by centrifuging the mixture at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The brown to black DNA pellet was rinsed in 70% ethanol and centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 rpm. The DNA pellet was resuspended in 20 μ l TE.

The extracted genomic DNA was purified on a low-melt agarose gel to remove secondary compounds and RNAs. The band containing the DNA of the correct size was cut out of the gel and transferred to an Eppendorf tube. Equal weights of distilled water were added to the gel block containing the purified DNAs. Prior to use of the DNAs for PCR, the gel was heated in a 65°C water bath for 3 min. Two primers (Chiang et al., 1998), *rbcL-1* and *atpB-1*, were developed for amplifying and sequencing the *atpB-rbcL* spacers from the sequences of *Marchantia* (Umesono et al., 1988), tobacco (Shinozaki et al., 1986) and rice (Nishizawa and Hirai, 1987).

PCR reactions were carried out using *Taq* polymerase (Promega) at an annealing temperature of 57°C. PCR products were polyacrylamide-gel-purified and sequenced by the dideoxy-mediated chain-termination method (Sanger et al., 1977). The *finoI*TMDNA Sequencing System (Promega), which uses *Taq* polymerase, was used for sequencing. The detergent NP-40 was added to assist sequencing through G-C rich regions and secondary structure (Wang et al., 1992). Both strands of DNA were sequenced with about a 50 base overlap.

Data Analysis

Sequence alignment. Sequences were aligned by multiple alignments without weighting transversions or transitions using the Clustal V Program (Higgins et al., 1992) and later adjusted visually. The fixed gap penalty was 35 and the floating penalty was 4.

Phylogenetic analyses. Cladistic analyses of the *atpBrbcL* sequence data were performed using a maximum parsimony criterion (PAUP, Version 3.1.1., Swofford, 1993) and by Neighbor-Joining (NJ) (MEGA, Version 1.01, Kumar et al., 1993). Parsimony analyses were conducted using heuristic searches with TBR branch swapping, accelerated transformation (ACCTRAN), stepwise addition of 10 random replicates, an unconstrained number of maximum trees, and retention of multiple most parsimonious trees (MULPARS). Indels were recognized as additional characters, and all characters were unweighted. Both strict (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981) and 50% majority-rule (Margush and McMorris, 1981) consensus trees were rooted at *Pleurozium* and *Entodon*. Neighbor-joining analyses were conducted using Kimura's (1980) 2-parameter distance. Chiang and Schaal — Evolution of a cpDNA noncoding spacer

A g1 test (Huelsenbeck, 1991) of skewed tree-length distribution was calculated from 10,000 random trees generated by PAUP in order to measure the information content of the data. Critical values of the g1 test were obtained from Hillis and Huelsenbeck (1992). The fit of character data on phylogenetic hypotheses (Swofford, 1991) was evaluated by the consistency index, CI (Kluge and Farris, 1969) and the retention index, RI (Archie, 1989; Farris, 1989). The statistical significance of the CI was determined according to the method of Klassen et al. (1991). Confidence in the clades was tested by bootstrapping (Efron, 1982; Felsenstein, 1985) with 400 replicates (Hedges, 1992) of heuristic searches on the 50% majority rule trees. The nodes with bootstrap values >0.70, as a rule of thumb, were considered significantly supported with 395% probability (Hillis and Bull, 1993).

Tests of taxonomic congruence and alternative trees. The phylogeny inferred from the chloroplast spacer sequence represents a gene phylogeny and may conflict with the organismal tree. In this study, a reconstructed most parsimonious phylogeny of Hylocomiaceae inferred from the combined data of ITS rDNA and *atpB-rbcL* sequences (Chiang, 1994) was taken as the organismal tree. To test the taxonomic congruence between topologies as well as gene trees versus the organismal tree, a nonparametric Wilcoxon sign-ranked test was employed (Templeton, 1983). Two-tailed probabilities were used to examine significance levels (Felsenstein, 1985; statistical tables see Sokal and Rohlf, 1981). The information on characters favoring each tree, with the direction of different steps according to the assumption of parsimony, was obtained from the computer program MacClade (Maddison and Maddison, 1992).

Relative rate tests. The hypothesis of a molecular clock (Zuckerkandl and Pauling, 1965) was tested by a relative rate test (Sarich and Wilson, 1973; Wu and Li, 1985). The total number of nucleotide substitutions (K), which is the number of transitional and transversional substitutions per site, was calculated from each lineage using *Pleurozium*

as the reference species. The data on the number and ratio of transversions versus transitions between taxa was obtained from MEGA. The null hypothesis of a molecular clock predicts that the number of nucleotide substitutions between two lineages will be the same. Based on the assumption of a normal distribution of nucleotide substitutions (Wu and Li, 1985), the hypothesis of a molecular clock will be rejected with 95% significance when the difference of substitution rates between two lineages is greater than 1.96 times the standard error.

Results

Nucleotide Sequences and Variation

The length of the *atpB-rbcL* spacer varies from 553 (*Hylocomium splendens*) to 587 (*Rhytidiopsis robusta*) base pairs within the Hylocomiaceae. The spacer of *Entodon* is shorter (549 base pairs) than that of ingroup taxa and the other outgroup *Pleurozium* (555 base pairs). Sequence alignment is shown in Figure 1. This noncoding chloroplast spacer is highly A+T rich (37.7% A and 42.4% T, on average). This agrees with data from most noncoding spacers and pseudogenes (Li, 1997).

Of 671 positions of the aligned sequences of the noncoding spacer, 289 are variable (43.1%); 226 substitutions occur in only a single taxon (autapomorphies); and 67 bases (10.0% of total) are informative for phylogenetic reconstruction. Insertions/deletions (indels) are a common phenomenon in the chloroplast spacer of the Hylocomiaceae and the outgroup taxa. Of 330 indel events, based on pairwise comparisons, 249 (75.5%) are single base indels, 49 (14.8%) are 2- to 5-base indels; and 20 (6.0%) are 6- to 9-base indels. Several insertions were identified: a four base insertion (TTAG, 212-215) in Thelia hirtella; a 4-base insertion (GAAT, 255-258) in Loeskeobryum brevirostre; a 6-base insertion (AGATTA, 451-456) in Hylocomiastrum umbratum; a 9-base insertion (499-507) in the species of Thelia and Neodolichomitra; and a 29base insertion (342-370) in Rhytidiopsis robusta.

Table 2. Numbers of transitions/transversions (above diagonal) and their ratios (below diagonal) between taxa. 1, *Thelia hirtella*; 2, *T. lescurii*; 3, *T. asperella*; 4, *Rhytidiopsis*; 5, *Rhytidium*; 6, *Hylocomium*; 7, *Loeskeobryum brevirostre*; 8, *L. cavifolium*; 9, *Hylocomiastrum umbratum*; 10, *Hylocomiastrum pyrenaicum*; 11, *Neodolichomitra*; 12, *Pleurozium*; 13, *Entodon*. Both *Pleurozium* and *Entodon* were chosen as outgroups.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1		6/2	9/7	11/5	9/14	10/9	9/7	10/6	10/8	12/12	5/4	6/6	6/7
2	3.00		3/7	9/3	11/12	11/9	14/7	13/8	14/8	16/10	1/2	7/5	7/8
3	1.29	0.43		12/10	14/19	14/16	17/14	16/13	17/13	19/17	4/9	10/12	10/13
4	2.20	3.00	1.20		12/12	11/8	13/8	10/9	12/9	15/9	11/5	6/6	5/9
5	0.64	0.92	0.74	1.00		13/18	14/17	11/18	14/17	15/18	12/15	8/14	7/17
6	1.11	1.22	0.88	1.38	0.72		15/10	14/11	14/13	16/15	13/9	6/10	7/10
7	1.71	2.00	1.21	1.63	0.82	1.50		4/5	14/11	13/13	14/9	8/10	7/13
8	1.68	1.63	1.23	1.11	0.61	1.27	0.80		13/10	11/14	12/10	7/12	6/12
9	1.25	1.75	1.31	1.33	0.82	1.08	1.27	1.30		7/14	15/10	7/11	6/10
10	1.00	1.60	1.12	1.67	0.83	1.07	1.56	0.79	0.50		16/12	10/13	9/16
11	1.25	0.50	0.44	2.20	0.80	1.44	0.80	1.20	1.50	1.33		8/7	8/10
12	1.00	1.40	0.83	1.00	0.57	0.60	1.00	0.64	0.64	0.77	0.14		1/4
13	0.86	0.88	0.77	0.56	0.41	0.70	0.54	0.50	0.60	0.56	0.80	0.25	

Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica, Vol. 41, 2000

Th. hirtella		GTCCCTCCCT	ACAACTCAAT	TGATAACTCT	TGCAAGGATT	60 AGGTCTGCTC
Th. lescurii	<i>rbcL</i> gene				G	
Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis					G	
Rhytidium Hylocomium						
Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium						
Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenaicum Neodolichomitra					G	
Neodolichomitra Pleurozium			T.T		G	
Entodon	•••••	•••••	c	c	G	
Th. hirtella	61 GACAAATAAG	TCTTTTT-AA	TCTTATAAAA	AAACATCTTT	GGTATTTAAT	120 TTTGTCTATT
Th. lescurii Th. asprella	.CATA.GT	.T		T		
Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium		.T				
Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre		.T				
Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum		.T				
Hyl. pyrenaicum Neodolichomitra		.T			.A	
Pleurozium Entodon		.TA .T				
Encodon	121					180
Th. hirtella	TTTAGACAAA	GTTATTTAC	TATAACAAAA	CAGTATCATT	GTATAGTATT	TTTTACATTT
Th. lescurii Th. asprella						
Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium						
Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre			c			T
Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum	G					C
Hyl. pyrenaicum Neodolichomitra	GG					
Pleurozium Entodon						G
	181					240
Th. hirtella Th. lescurii	GATGCAACTC		TAGTAAATGA			
Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis				ACC	T	
Rhytidium Hylocomium	G		A		TA T	.CT.
Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium					ΨΨ	AC
Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenaicum					T	G
Neodolichomitra Pleurozium						
Entodon	Τ				T	
mb bioballa	241					300
Th. lescurii	TAATAA-TCT	TTTGA)	A-TGTTAAAC 1	AATT-AATA A	AAATAAGA- I	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis	TAATAA-TCT	TTTGA)	A-TGTTAAAC 1	AATT-AATA A	AAATAAGA- I	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium	ТААТАА-ТСТ '	TTTGA)	A-TGTTAAAC 1 	AATT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 C GA GGA	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium	таатаа-тст СС.	TTTGA)	A-TGTTAAAC 1 	аатт-аата /	AAATAAGA- 1 C GA GGA GGA A.C	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenaicum	ТААТАА-ТСТ СС.	TTTGA)	A-TGTTAAAC 1 .C	'ААТТ-ААТА /	AAATAAGA- 1 C G. A. GG. A. A. A.C A.	300 •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenaicum Neodolichomitra Pleurozium	CC.	TTTGA)	A-TGTTAAAC 1 .C .C	C	LAAATAAGA- T 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenaicum Neodolichomitra	ТААТАА-ТСТ СС. С.	TTTGA)	A-TGTTAAAC 1 	C	LAAATAAGA- T 	300 'TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylecomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenalcum Neodolichomitra Entodon Th. hirtella	ТААТАА-ТСТ ' С. С. С. С. 	TTTGA /	A-TGTTAAAC 1 .C .C	'AATT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- T 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenalcum Neodolichomitra Pleurozium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella	ТААТАА-ТСТ СС. СС. 301 GAACATAGTA	ТТТGA) ТGAAТ Т АGAAA А ТАGAA АТАGAA	а-татталас 1 	ХААТТ-ААТА / СС	LAAATAAGA- T C A. GA. GA. A.C A.C A. A.C A. T	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylecomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenaicum Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidium	ТААТАА-ТСТ СС. сс. 301 даасатадта А	ТТТGA) TGAAT T. А.GAAA АTAGAA 	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	аатт-аата / с с аттас-таат с с с с	DAATAAGA- T C A. GG.A. GA. GA. A.C A. T	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenalcum Neodolichomitra Pleurozium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre	ТААТАА-ТСТ СС. СС. 301 GAACATAGTA А А.	ТТGA / ТGAAT А.GAAA А СТАGAA ТА. АА ТТА. АА ТТА. АА ТА. АА ТА. АА ТА. АА ТА. АА	а-тотталас 1 		AAATAAGA- T 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Hyl. uprenaicum Neodolichomitra Pleurozium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomicature	Талтла-тст '	ТТТGA // TGAAT TGAAT T. 	A-TGTTAAAC 1	ATT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 GG. GG. A. GG. A. A. A. A. T	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylecomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyleurozium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylcoomium Hyl.umbratum Hyl.umbratum Hyl.umbratum	CC. 301 GAACATAGTA AA. AA. AA. AA.	ТТТGA) 	А-ТСТАААС 1 	ATT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylecomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyleurozium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylcoomium Hyl.umbratum Hyl.umbratum Hyl.umbratum	ТААТАА-ТСТ '	ТТТGA) 	А-ТСТАААС 1 	ATT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylecomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. oprenaicum Entodon Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylcocomium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenaicum Needolichomitra Pleurozium Entodon	301 G	ТТТGA) TGAAT TGAAT T 	А-ТСТАААС 1 	ATT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 GG. GG. A.C A.C A.C A.T T	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenaicum Neodolichomitra Pleurozium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Hyloconium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Entodon Th. hirtella	CC. 301 G.A.CATAGTA A A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.	ТТТGA // TGAAT TGAAT T 	А-ТСТАААС 1 	ATT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 GG. G. A. A. A.C A.C A. T	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. ubratum Hyl. uprenalcum Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. hirtella	TAATAA-TCT CC. 301 GAACATAGTA A	ТТGA) ТСААТ. ТСААТ. ТСААТ. Т	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	XANT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. ubratum Hyl. uprenalcum Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. hirtella	TAATAA-TCT CC. 301 GAACATAGTA A	ТТGA) ТСААТ. ТСААТ. ТСААТ. Т	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	XANT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. ubratum Hyl. uprenalcum Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. hirtella	TAATAA-TCT CC. 301 GAACATAGTA A	ТТGA) ТСААТ. ТСААТ. ТСААТ. Т	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	XANT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. ubratum Hyl. uprenalcum Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. hirtella	TAATAA-TCT CC. 301 GAACATAGTA A	ТТGA) ТСААТ. ТСААТ. ТСААТ. Т	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	XANT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. uprenalcum Hyl. ubratum Hyl. uprenalcum Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. hirtella	TAATAA-TCT CC. 301 GAACATAGTA A	ТТGA) ТСААТ. ТСААТ. ТСААТ. Т	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	XANT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Hylocomium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. oprenaicum Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. cavifolium Hylocomium Loe. cavifolium Hylocomian Loe. cavifolium Hyl. pyrenatum Hyl. pyrenatum Hyl. pyrenatum Hyl. pyrenatum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum	TAATAA-TCT CC. 301 GAACATAGTA A A A A A A A	ТТGA) ТСААТ. ТСААТ. ТСААТ. Т	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	XANT-AATA /	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Hylocomium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. apprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. oprenaicum Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. apprella Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. cavifolium Hylocomium Loe. cavifolium Hylocomian Loe. cavifolium Hyl. pyrenatum Hyl. pyrenatum Hyl. pyrenatum Hyl. pyrenatum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum	TAATAA-TCT 	ттGA / 	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	ATT-AATA / 	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Hylocomium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. escurii Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiops	CC. 301 GAACATAGTA AA. AA	ТТGA / TGRAT. TGRAT. TT. 	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	антт-ААТА / с АТТАС-ТААТ с 	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Hylocomium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hylocomium Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiom Hyl. comintra Hyl. comint Hyl. c	CC. CC. CC. CC. CC. CC. CC. 301 GAACATAGTA AA. AAA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AAA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AA. AA. A	ТТGA / ТGRAT. ТGRAT. ТGRAT. ТТ Т ТА	A-TGTTAAAC 1	халтт-ААТА / с АТТАС-ТААТ с с 	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenaicum Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. cavifolium Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum H	CC. GC. CC. AAA	ТТGA / 	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	антт-ААТА / с АТТАС-ТААТ с с 	AAATAAGA- 1 	300 TTAAATAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. expression Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Hyl. gyrenalcum Hylicomita Hylicomita Hylicomita Hylicomita Hyl. gyrenalcum Hyl. gyrenalc	CC. GC. CC. GARCATAGTA AA. AAA	ТТGA / ТGAAT. ТGAAT. Т. 	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	алтт-ААТА / с АТТАС-ТААТ с с 	алаталада - 1 	300 TTAATTAA-
Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. brevirostre Loe. cavifolium Hyl. umbratum Hyl. uprenaicum Entodon Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Th. hirtella Th. hirtella Th. lescurii Th. asprella Rhytidiopsis Rhytidiopsis Rhytidium Hylocomium Loe. cavifolium Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. pyrenaicum Hyl. umbratum Hyl. umbratum H	CC. GC. CC. GARCATAGTA AA. AAA	ТТGA / ТGAAT. ТGAAT. Т. 	А-ТСТТАААС 1 	алтт-ААТА / с АТТАС-ТААТ с с 	алаталада - 1 	300 TTAATTAA-

	481					540
Th. hirtella	TTTTAATTTT	TTTTATTTAA	-TATATTCAT	ATACTTATAT	CTATATATAA	GTAATTAA-T
Th. lescurii			G			
Th. asprella						
Rhytidiopsis						
Rhytidium	TTA	TT	G			G
Hylocomium		G				
Loe. brevirostre			T		Τ	
Loe. cavifolium			T		Τ	
Hyl. Umbratum		G		.CG		
Hyl. pyrenaicum			T		A	A.
Neodolichomitra						
Pleurozium						
Entodon		G				
						600
	541					
Th. hirtella					TTGATACTAA	
Th. lescurii						
Th. asprella		C				
Rhytidiopsis						T
Rhytidium	.TA	T				T
Hvlocomium		T		T		T
Loe, brevirostre		T				GT
Loe. cavifolium						T
Hyl. umbratum		T	Τ			T
Hyl. pyrenaicum						T
Neodolichomitra						
Pleurozium						
Entodon						
Entodon						
	co.					660
	601					
Th. hirtella		CAGCAACTAA	TTTATTACTT	CTAAATTTT-	ATGAAAACAG	A-TICICGCA
Th. lescurii						
Th. asprella						.G
Rhytidiopsis						· · · · · · · · · · ·
Rhytidium						
Hylocomium	Τ		G.			
Loe. brevirostre	T					
Loe. cavifolium	TG.					
Hvl. umbratum						
Hyl. pyrenaicum				.C		
Neodolichomitra			AA			
Pleurozium	Τ					
Entodon						
Encodon						
	661		685			
Th. hirtella	CTTTT-GGTA	ORMODE OF THE				
Th. hirteila	CITIT-GGIM					
			<i>tpB</i> gene			
Th. lescurii						
Th. asprella						
Rhytidiopsis						
Rhytidium						
Hylocomium						
Loe. brevirostre						
Loe. cavifolium						
Hyl. umbratum	C					
Hyl. pyrenaicum						
Neodolichomitra						
Pleurozium						
Entodon						

Figure 1. Alignment of nucleotide sequences of *atpB-rbcL* spacer of the chloroplast DNA of the Hylocomiaceae and outgroups.

Based on pairwise comparisons conducted by the MEGA program, a total of 1,627 nucleotide substitution events occurred between the taxa of Hylocomiaceae and the outgroups, of which 812 were transitions and 815 were transversions (Table 2). The ratio of transitions to transversions is 0.996 (»1.0), which is very close to the ratio (Ti/Tv = 0.97) in the tribe Rubieae (Manen and Natali, 1995). The transitions/transversions ratio for pairwise comparisons between taxa is highly variable (Table 2) ranging from 0.14 to 3.00. Nevertheless, most of the ratio of transitions to transversions is higher than the expected value of 0.5 and shows a bias favoring transitions.

Phylogenetic Reconstruction

Six equally parsimonious trees of 257 steps were identified by parsimony analysis, with a CI of 0.825 (P£0.05), and a RI of 0.657; a strict consensus tree is shown in Figure 2. A g1 statistic of -1.05 indicated significant structure within the data (P£0.05). Nevertheless, resolution was incomplete: a trichotomy of Loeskeobryum, Hylocomiastrum, and the Rhytidiopsis clade remained unresolved (Figure 2). Six nodes were strongly supported with significant bootstrap values: the Hylocomiaceae (75%); Loeskeobryum (100%); Hylocomiastrum (84%); the clade of Rhytidium, Neodolichomitra, and Thelia (73%); the clade of Neodolichomitra and Thelia (98%); and the clade



Figure 2. Strict consensus tree obtained from PAUP on *atpB*-*rbcL* spacer sequence in the Hylocomiaceae, with bootstrap values at nodes.



Figure 3. NJ tree of the Hylocomiaceae based on *atpB-rbcL* spacer sequence, with bootstrap values at nodes.

of *Thelia lescurii*, *T. asprella*, and *Neodolichomitra* (70%).

Neighbor-joining analyses were conducted on the K2P distance matrix (Table 3). A tree was obtained (Figure 3), which mostly agreed with the organismal tree based on sequences of both nrITS and cpDNA *atpB-rbcL* spacer (Chiang, 1994), except for the position of Neodolichomitra. The organismal tree supported the monophyly of both Thelia [(T. hirtella, T. asperella), T. lescurii] and Neodolichomitra. In contrast, in the NJ tree, Neodolichomitra was nested in Thelia species as well as with parsimony analysis. Obviously, branches leading to Rhytidiopsis, T. hirtella, and T. lescurii were much shorter than the ones leading to their sister taxa. The topology of the NJ tree was not fully congruent with the parsimony trees. In the NJ tree, Rhytidium and Rhytidiopsis were more closely related to Hylocomiastrum instead of Thelia as indicated by the parsimony tree. As in the parsimony tree, the monophyly of the Hylocomiaceae and four other clades was significantly supported (Figure 3).

Discussion

Phylogeny Reconstruction

The monophyly of Hylocomiaceae was significantly supported. However, the cladistic analyses did not resolve the generic relationships completely or in a proper manner. Unexpectedly, the various analyses of the chloroplast spacer suggested a nested relationship between *Neodolichomitra* and *Thelia*, in contrast to the organismal tree (Chiang, 1994). The Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, with three characters favoring the NJ tree and two characters favoring the organismal tree (Ts = 6.00, P< 0.1, n. s.), indicated the difference to be non-significant. In contrast, 21 characters favored the parsimonious chloroplast tree, and none favored the organismal tree. A Ts statistic value of 0.00 (P£0.001) obtained from the sign-ranked tests indicated a significant difference between the two trees.

Based on the Wilcoxon sign-ranked tests, in this study, the NJ tree was found to be more congruent with the organismal tree than was the parsimony tree. Apparently,

Table 3. Kimura's 2-parameter substitution rates between taxa of the Hylocomiaceae and outgroup taxa. Substitution rates ($\times 10^{-4}$) in the upper-right matrix; standard errors ($\times 10^{-4}$) in lower-left matrix; taxa numbered as Table 2.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1		165	314	375	469	414	435	358	414	509	184	279	300
2	55		180	275	443	407	448	428	464	519	54	256	314
3	77	57		464	637	601	644	582	618	715	236	444	468
4	85	72	94		482	370	428	371	426	462	351	237	277
5	95	91	111	96		600	618	561	618	635	521	424	467
6	89	88	107	83	107		486	466	523	580	448	292	315
7	92	93	112	90	109	96		181	505	503	487	350	393
8	83	90	106	84	103	94	58		446	465	449	331	335
9	89	94	109	90	109	100	98	92		403	522	349	315
10	99	99	118	93	110	105	98	94	86		559	423	466
11	58	31	66	81	99	93	97	93	100	103		312	372
12	72	69	91	66	89	73	81	78	80	89	76		91
13	76	77	94	72	94	77	86	79	77	94	84	41	

the lack of congruence between the parsimony tree and the NJ tree (or the organismal tree) was caused by the taxa with shorter branches, including *Rhytidiopsis* and *Thelia lescurii*. Branch attraction (cf. Swofford et al., 1996) might have caused the erroneous placement of *Rhytidiopsis* and *Rhytidium* in the parsimony trees. That is, *Rhytidiopsis* and *Rhytidium* might have been artificially attracted to the *Thelia* clade.

Molecular Evolution

The numbers of nucleotide substitutions per site between the Hylocomiaceae and *Pleurozium* varied from 0.0237 to 0.0444 with an average of 0.0336. It is noteworthy that the rates of substitution of the noncoding *atpB-rbcL* spacer of mosses are much slower than in vascular plants. For example, the rate of nucleotide substitution is 0.0691 between maize and barley (Zurawski et al., 1984), and 0.027 among 15 Rubieae species (Manen and Natali, 1995).

One might expect that a noncoding region such as the atpB-rbcL spacer should evolve faster than a coding sequence, such as the *rbcL* gene, due to weaker functional constraints. For unknown reasons however, the evolution of the noncoding regions seems constrained in angiosperms (Zurawski et al., 1984) relative to the third codon position for rbcL. In Hylocomiaceae, the substitution rate for the chloroplast noncoding spacer (K = 0.012) appears to be much slower than that of the third (K = 0.135), as well as the first (K = 0.0360) and second (K = 0.0190) codon positions for rbcL between barley and maize (Bousquet et al., 1992b). Undoubtedly, the evolutionary history of the Hylocomiaceae is much longer than that between barley and maize, which results in much lower rates of substitution per year. Similar observations have been made in the Rubieae (Manen and Natali, 1995), for which the evolutionary rate of the *atpB-rbcL* spacer (K = 0.027) was close to that of *rbcL* gene (K = 0.021).

Among the taxa analyzed, the chloroplast spacers of *Thelia hirtella, T. lescurii,* and *Rhytidiopsis robusta*

evolved relatively slowly. When pairwise relative rate tests were conducted using *Pleurozium* as a reference species, most lineages fit the hypothesis of a molecular clock, except for the above three species (Table 4).

In a previous study (Chiang, 1994), the evolutionary rate of the chloroplast *atpB-rbcL* spacer in true mosses was estimated to be $2.24 \pm 0.039 \times 10^{-10}$ substitutions per site per year. Based on the molecular clock hypothesis and the average rate of nucleotide substitutions (K = 0.013), which was recalculated with exclusion of the above three slowly evolving taxa (cf. Savard et al., 1994), the divergence of Hylocomiaceae from their common ancestor could be estimated at 29 million years ago. Usually, molecular clock divergence dates are expected to precede, more or less slightly, dates derived from the fossil record because gene divergence precedes morphological divergence and because the diverging phylla have to become ecologically quite abundant before being detected in fossilized sediment strata (Savard et al., 1994). In this study, the divergence estimated from the molecular clock also preceded the earliest fossil records of this family (23 million years ago; Miller, 1984).

Despite its slow evolutionary rate, the *atpB-rbcL* spacer did provide information to resolve the phylogeny at generic and lower levels. Natali et al. (1995) used this noncoding spacer to reconstruct the phylogeny of the tribe Rubieae, in which the monophyly of both the Rubieae and the subfamily Rubioideae was significantly supported. This noncoding spacer of chloroplast DNA has also been found informative in inferring the phylogeny of Betulaceae, which agreed with phylogenies derived from *rbcL* and morphological characters (Bousquet et al., 1992a). In our study, the monophyly of Hylocomiaceae was supported significantly based on bootstrap estimates, and variation was even detected between species. Although the generic relationships estimated from parsimony contradicted part of the organismal tree, the neighbor-joining analysis provided a more congruent estimate of the phylogeny.

Table 4. Relative rate differences between species pair (K_{13} - K_{23} ; above diagonal) and the ratios of the relative rate differences to standard errors (below diagonal); taxa numbered as in Table 2. *Pleurozium* was used as outgroup taxon in all pairwise relative rate tests.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1		-0.0044	0.0089	0.0089	0.0129	0.0106	0.0089	0.0089	0.0010	0.0134	0.0050
2	0.00		0.0056	0.0067	0.0129	0.0112	0.0120	0.0117	0.0123	0.0146	0.0017
3	2.55*	3.11**		-0.0123	0.0185	-0.0168	-0.0174	-0.0163	-0.0168	0.0200	-0.0012
4	0.00	0.00	2.16*		0.0134	0.0110	0.0123	0.0110	0.0120	0.0134	0.0089
5	2.07*	2.07*	0.00	2.07*		-0.0174	-0.0140	0.0110	-0.0174	0.0185	-0.0150
6	0.92	1.80	1.09	0.92	1.09		0.0140	0.0140	0.0150	0.0174	-0.0123
7	1.55	1.31	0.73	1.31	0.80	0.39		-0.0050	-0.0150	0.0145	-0.0129
8	1.77	1.50	0.56	1.63	0.70	0.61	0.35		0.0129	0.0140	-0.0123
9	1.42	1.31	0.73	1.31	0.73	0.38	0.00	0.21		0.0120	-0.0140
10	2.30*	2.13*	0.18	2.30*	0.19	1.26	0.97	0.82	1.08		-0.0160
11	2.00*	1.70	1.51	0.77	1.36	0.22	0.62	0.86	0.60	0.94	

*≤0.05; **≤0.01.

Acknowledgments. We thank the curator of the Herbarium of the Missouri Botanical Garden, P. Redfearn, Z. Iwatsuki, and P. C. Wu for agreeing to provide valuable materials for DNA isolation. We are grateful to S. B. Hoot of the Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, for suggesting the use of the *atpBrbcL* spacer. We thank S. O'Kane for help with the computer search; A. Colwell and D. L. Nickrent for help with the relative rate test; and B. D. Mishler for advice and help on DNA extraction from mosses. We are grateful to R. Wyatt for his critical comments on our work. We are indebted to Profs. J. Bousquet and E. M. Golenberg and another anonymous reviewer for their valuable comments on our manuscript.

Literature Cited

- Archie, J.W. 1989. Homoplasy excess ratios: new indices for measuring levels of homoplasy in phylogenetic systematics and a critique of the consistency index. Syst. Zool. 38: 253-269.
- Bousquet, J., S.H. Strauss, and P. Li. 1992a. Complete congruence between morphological and *rbcL*-based molecular phylogenies in birches and related species (family Betulaceae). Mol. Biol. Evol. 9: 1076-1088.
- Bousquet, J., S.H. Strauss, A.H. Doerksen, and R.A. Price. 1992b. Extensive variation in evolutionary rate of *rbcL* gene sequences among seed plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 7844-7848.
- Chiang, T.Y. 1994. Molecular systematics of the Hylocomiaceae (Order Hypnales) inferred from DNA sequences of the internal transcribed spacers of ribosomal DNA and the *atpBrbcL* noncoding spacers of chloroplast DNA. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Biology, St. Louis, Missouri.
- Chiang, T.Y. 1995. Phylogeny and morphological evolution of Macrothamnium M. Fleisch. and related taxa (Bryopsida: Hypnaceae). Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin. 36: 143-154.
- Chiang, T.Y., B.A. Schaal, and C.I. Peng. 1998. Universal primers for amplification and sequencing a noncoding spacer between *atpB* and *rbcL* genes of chloroplast DNA. Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin. **39:** 245-250.
- Efron, B. 1982. The jackknife, the bootstrap, and other resampling plans. Conf. Board Math. Sci. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. **38:** 1-92.
- Farris, J.S. 1989. The retention index and homoplasy excess. Syst. Zool. **38:** 406-407.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution **39**: 783-791.
- Golenberg, E.M., M. Clegg, M.L. Durbin, J. Doebley, and D.P. Ma. 1993. Evolution of a noncoding region of the chloroplast genome. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 2: 52-64.
- Hedges, S.B. 1992. The number of replications needed for accurate estimation of the bootstrap *P* value in phylogenetic studies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 9: 366-369.
- Higgins, D.G., A.J. Bleasby, and R. Fuchs. 1992. CLUSTAL V: improved software for multiple sequence alignment. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 8: 189-191.
- Hillis, D.M. and J.J. Bull. 1993. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Syst. Biol. 42: 182-192.
- Hillis, D.M. and J.P. Huelsenbeck. 1992. Signal, noise, and reliability in molecular phylogenetic analyses. J. Hered. 83: 189-195.

- Huelsenbeck, J.P. 1991. Tree-length distribution skewness: an indicator of phylogenetic information. Syst. Zool. **40:** 257-270.
- Klassen, G.J., R.D. Mooi, and A. Locke. 1991. Consistency indices and random data. Syst. Zool. 40: 446-457.
- Kluge, A.G. and J.S. Farris. 1969. Quantitative phyletics and the evolution of anurans. Syst. Zool. **18:** 1-32.
- Kumar, S., K. Tamura, and M. Nei. 1993. MEGA: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis, version 1.01. The Pennsylvania State University, University Rark, PA 16802.
- Li, W.H. 1997. Molecular Evolution. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA, USA.
- Maddison, W.P. and D.R. Maddison. 1992. MacClade: analysis of phylogeny and character evolution, Version 3. Sinauer Associates, Massachusetts.
- Manen, J. and A. Natali. 1995. Comparison of the evolution of ribulose-1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase (*rbcL*) and *atpB-rbcL* spacer sequences in a recent plant group, the tribe Rubieae (Rubiaceae). J. Mol. Biol. **41**: 920-927.
- Manen, J., A. Natali, and F. Ehrendorfer. 1994a. Phylogeny of Rubiaceae-Rubieae inferred from the sequence of a cpDNA intergene region. Pl. Syst. Evol. **190:** 195-211.
- Manen, J., V. Savolainen, and P. Simon. 1994b. The *atpB* and *rbcL* promoters in plastid DNAs of a wide dicot range. J. Mol. Evol. 38: 577-582.
- Margush, T. and F.R. McMorris. 1981. Consensus n-trees. Bull. Math. Biol. **43:** 239-244.
- Miller, N.G. 1984. Tertiary and Quaternary fossils. *In* R.M. Schuster (ed.), New Manual of Bryology. The Hattori Botanical Laboratory, Nichinan, Japan, pp. 1194-1232.
- Murray, M.G. and W.F. Thompson. 1980. Rapid isolation of high molecular weight DNA. Nucl. Acids Res. 8: 4321-4325.
- Natali, A., J. Manen, and F. Ehrendorfer. 1995. Phylogeny of the Rubiaceae-Rubioideae, in particular the tribe Rubieae: evidence from a non-coding chloroplast DNA sequence. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. **82:** 428-439.
- Nishizawa, Y. and A. Hirai. 1987. Nucleotide sequence and expression of the gene for the large subunit of rice ribulose 1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase. Jap. J. Genet. **62:** 223-229.
- Rohrer, J.R. 1985. A generic revision of the Hylocomiaceae. J. Hattori Bot. Lab. **59:** 241-278.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A.R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74: 5463-5467.
- Sarich, V.M. and A.C. Wilson. 1973. Generation time and genomic evolution in primates. Science 179: 1144-1147.
- Savard, L., P. Li. S.H. Strauss, M.W. Chase, M. Michaud, and J. Bousquet. 1994. Chloroplast and nuclear gene sequences indicate Late Pennsylvanian time for the last common ancestor of extant seed plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91: 5163-5167.
- Savolainen, V., J.F. Manen, E. Douzery, and R. Spichiger. 1994.
 Molecular phylogeny of families related to Celastrales based on *rbcL* 5' flanking sequences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 3: 27-37.
- Shinozaki, K., M. Tanaka, T. Wakasugi, N. Hayashida, T. Matsubayashi, N. Zaita, J. Chunwongse, J. Obokata, K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, C. Ohto, K. Torazawa, B.Y. Meng, M. Sugita, H. Deno, T. Kamogashira, K. Yamada, J. Kusuda,

F. Takaiwa, A. Kato, N. Tohdoh, H. Shimada, and M. Sugiura. 1986. The complete nucleotide sequence of tobacco chloroplast genome: its gene organization and expression. EMBO J. **5**: 2043-2049.

- Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1981. Biometrics. W.-H. Freeman, San Francisco.
- Swofford, D.L. 1991. When are phylogeny estimates from molecular and morphological data incongruent? In M.M. Miyamoto and J. Cracraft (eds.), Phylogenetic analysis of DNA sequences. Oxford University Press. New York, Oxford, pp. 295-333.
- Swofford, D.L. 1993. PAUP: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, Version 3.1.1. Computer program distributed by the Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Illinois.
- Templeton, A. 1983. Phylogenetic inference from restriction endonuclease cleavage site maps with particular reference to the evolution of humans and the apes. Evolution **37:** 221-244.

- Umesono, K., H. Inokuchi, Y. Shiki, M. Takeuchi, Z. Chang, H. Fujuzawa, T. Kochi, H. Shirai, K. Ohayama, and H. Ozeki. 1988. Structure and organization of *Marchantia polymorpha* chloroplast genome. II. Gene organization of the large copy region from *rps'12* to *atpB*. J. Mol. Biol. **203**: 299-331.
- Wang, B., Q. Fang, W.V. Williams, and D.B. Weuber. 1992. Double-stranded DNA sequencing by linear amplification with Taq DNA polymerase. BioTech. 13: 527-529.
- Wu, C.I. and W.H. Li. 1985. Evidence for higher rates of nucleotide substitution in rodents than in man. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82: 1741-1745.
- Zuckerkandl, E. and L. Pauling. 1965. Evolutionary divergence and convergence in proteins. *In* V. Bryson and H.J. Vogel (eds.), Evolving genes and proteins. Academic Press, New York, pp. 97-166.
- Zurawski, G., M.T. Clegg, and A.D.H. Brown. 1984. The nature of nucleotide sequence divergence between barley and maize chloroplast DNA. Genetics **106**: 735-749.

葉綠體 DNA 介於 atpB 及 rbcL 基因間的非轉譯區間 在塔蘚科的分子演化

蔣鎮宇¹ Barbara A. Schaal²

1國立成功大學生物學系 2美國華盛頓大學生物學系

本研究探討葉綠體 DNA 中一個介於 atpB 及 rbcL 基因間的非轉譯區間在塔蘚科植物的分子演 化,種間的遺傳變異大多來自核甘酸的置換,雖然一個 29 bp 的插入發生在 Rhytidiopsis robusta, atpBrbcL 基因區間的演化速率在塔蘚科中相較於被子植物中,或被子植物的 rbcL 基因還要緩慢,根據相對速 率測驗,此 DNA 片段的演化符合分子時鐘的假說。依據在真蘚植物中已知的分子演化速度,塔蘚植物 的起源可以推溯至二千九百萬年前,並與化石證據吻合。此基因片段支持塔蘚科植物為一單一起源的分類 群,然而,在最大簡約分析中因長支吸引效應,使得 Rhytidiopsis 及 Rhytidium 發生錯誤的分類,相對 地,相鄰連接分析法在重建親緣歷史上有較可靠的估算。

關鍵詞:atpB-rbcL基因間的區間片段;葉綠體 DNA;分子序列;基因樹;塔蘚科;長枝吸引;蘚類;分子時鐘。